

THE RELATIVE CAPACITY

MARKUS BIEGERT

Institute of Applied Analysis, University of Ulm, 89069 Ulm, Germany
markus.biegert@uni-ulm.de

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this article is to introduce the relative p -capacity $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ with respect to an open set Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$. It is a Choquet capacity on the closure of Ω and extends the classical p -capacity Cap_p in the sense that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega} = \text{Cap}_p$ if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. The importance of the relative p -capacity stems from the fact that a large class of Sobolev functions defined on a 'bad domain' admits a trace on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ which is then unique up to $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set. As an application we prove a characterization of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for open sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
2.1. Classical Function Spaces	2
2.2. Choquet Capacity	3
2.3. Relative Capacity	3
3. Properties of the Relative Capacity	3
3.1. Elementary Properties	3
3.2. Relations between Relative Capacities	6
3.3. Quasicontinuity and Polar Sets	8
3.4. Capacitary Extremals	11
3.5. Potentials	13
3.6. Capacitary Measures	15
4. An application to Sobolev spaces	17
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of capacity is fundamental to the analysis of pointwise behavior of Sobolev functions. Depending on the starting point of the study, the capacity of a set can be defined in many appropriate ways. The Choquet theory [7] gives a standard approach to capacities. Capacity is a necessary tool in classical and nonlinear potential theory. For example, given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the classical p -capacity and the relative p -capacity can be used to decide whether a given function $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ lies in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ or not. The purpose of this article is to introduce an extension of the classical p -capacity which we call the relative p -capacity. Here relative means with respect to an open and fixed set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31B15.

Key words and phrases. Relative Capacity, Traces of Sobolev functions.

For further results on the classical p -capacity and other capacities we refer the reader to the following books and the references therein: David R. Adams and Lars I. Hedberg [1], Nicolas Bouleau and Francis Hirsch [6], Gustave Choquet [7], Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy [11], Juha Heinonen and Tero Kilpeläinen and Olli Martio [16], Jan Malý and William P. Ziemer [18] and Vladimir G. Maz'ya [19].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Classical Function Spaces. Let T be a topological space. Then we denote by $C(T)$ the space of all real-valued and continuous functions on T and by $C_c(T)$ the subspace of $C(T)$ consisting of those functions having compact support. For an open and non-empty set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we let $C^k(\Omega)$ be the subspace of $C(\Omega)$ consisting of those functions which are k times continuously differentiable, that is,

$$C^k(\Omega) := \{u \in C(\Omega) : D^\alpha u \in C(\Omega) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq k\}.$$

Let $C^\infty(\Omega)$ be the subspace of $C(\Omega)$ given by $C^\infty(\Omega) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C^k(\Omega)$ and let $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be the space of all *test functions* on Ω , that is,

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) := C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C_c(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \text{supp}(u) \subset \Omega \text{ is compact}\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Its topological dual (see Dautray and Lions [8, Appendix]) is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and is called the space of distributions. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ the first order Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,p}(\Omega) &:= \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq 1\} \\ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p &:= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we will work with the closed subspace $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ defined as the closure of $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ where the above intersection is defined by

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}) := \{u|_\Omega : u \in C_c(\overline{\Omega}), u|_\Omega \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\}.$$

For a real-valued function u we denote by u^+ the *positive part* and by u^- the *negative part* of u , that is, $u^+ := \max(u, 0) = u \vee 0$ and $u^- := (-u)^+$.

Remark 2.1. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set with continuous boundary and $p \in [1, \infty)$, then the restrictions of functions in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to Ω are dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. See Edmunds and Evans [10, Chap.V, Theorem 4.7] or Maz'ya and Poborchi [20, Theorem 1.4.2.1].

Remark 2.2. For $1 < p < \infty$ the space $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a uniformly convex (and hence by Milman's theorem a reflexive) Banach space. This follows by identifying $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with a closed subspace of $L^p(\Omega)^{N+1}$. For these well-known facts we refer to Alt [2, Theorem 6.8], Demkowicz and Oden [22, Proposition 5.13.1(ii)], Heuser [17, Satz 60.4] and Yosida [26, Theorem V.2.2].

2.2. Choquet Capacity. Let T be a topological space. For an arbitrary set D the power set of D is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(D)$. A mapping $C : \mathcal{P}(T) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is called a *Choquet capacity* on T if the following properties are satisfied (see Doob [9, A.II.1]).

- (C1) C is increasing; that is, $A \subset B \subset T$ implies that $C(A) \leq C(B)$.
- (C2) $(A_n)_n \subset T$ increasing implies that $\lim_n C(A_n) = C(\bigcup_n A_n)$.
- (C3) $(K_n)_n \subset T$ decreasing and K_n compact imply $\lim_n C(K_n) = C(\bigcap_n K_n)$.

If in addition (C0) holds, then we call C a *normed Choquet capacity*.

- (C0) $C(\emptyset) = 0$;

In this case, using (C1), we get that $C : \mathcal{P}(T) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

2.3. Relative Capacity. Given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ the relative p -capacity of an arbitrary set $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ is defined by

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) := \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(A) \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(A) := \{u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) : \exists O \text{ open in } \overline{\Omega}, A \subset O, u \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on } O \cap \Omega\}$. Here a.e. is the abbreviation for *almost everywhere* with respect to the N -dimensional Lebesgue measure. In the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ we simply get the classical p -capacity which we denote by $\text{Cap}_p := \text{Cap}_{p,\mathbb{R}^N}$. The notion of relative 2-capacity was first introduced by Wolfgang Arendt and Mahamadi Warma in [3] to study the Laplacian with general Robin boundary conditions on arbitrary domains in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Another important application (which will be the subject of a forthcoming paper) is the description of vector lattice homomorphisms or isomorphisms between Sobolev spaces.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE RELATIVE CAPACITY

In this section we will systematically collect properties of the relative p -capacity. We will assume throughout the article that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a non-empty open set and $p, q \in (1, \infty)$.

3.1. Elementary Properties.

Remark 3.1. It follows directly from the definition that $\lambda^*(A) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ for all sets $A \subset \Omega$ where λ^* denotes the outer N -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Proposition 3.2. Let O be an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$ (which need not be open in $\mathbb{R}^N$) and let $p \in (1, \infty)$. If $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(O)$ is finite then there is a unique function $e_O \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|e_O\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(O).$$

Moreover, this function satisfies

$$e_O = 1 \text{ a.e. on } O \cap \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq e_O \leq 1 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega.$$

Proof. The set $\mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(O) = \{u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) : u \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on } O \cap \Omega\}$ is a closed, convex and non-empty subset of the uniformly convex Banach space $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Let $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(O)$ be a sequence such that $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \rightarrow \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(O)$. By possibly passing to a subsequence we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup e_O$ weakly in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. It follows from Mazur's lemma (see Alt [2, Lemma 6.13]) that $e_O \in \mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(O)$. Moreover, we have that $\|e_O\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(O)$. Using Stampacchia's lemma (see Gilbarg and Trudinger [12, Lemma 7.6 and 7.7]) we get that $e'_O := e_O^+ \wedge 1 = \min(e_O^+, 1) \in \mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(O)$ and $\|e'_O\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \|e_O\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$. Using that the

space $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is uniformly convex, we get the uniqueness of e_O in $\mathcal{V}_{p,\Omega}(O)$ and hence $e_O = e'_O$ which implies that $e_O = 1$ a.e. on $O \cap \Omega$ and $e_O \in [0, 1]$ a.e. on Ω . Note that e_O is the projection of 0 onto $\mathcal{V}_{p,\Omega}(O)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let T be a Hausdorff space and let $K_n \subset T$ be a decreasing sequence of compact sets. Then for every open set V containing the intersection $K := \bigcap_n K_n$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_n \subset V$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*

Proof. Consider the decreasing sequence of compact sets $(C_n)_n$ given by $C_n := K_n \setminus V$. If $\bigcap_{n=1}^m C_n \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $K \setminus V = \bigcap_n C_n \neq \emptyset$ by Munkres [21, Theorem 26.9], a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *The relative capacity $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ is a normed Choquet capacity on $\overline{\Omega}$ and for every $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ we have that*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = \inf \{ \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(O) : O \text{ is open in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ and } A \subset O \}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. That $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ satisfies the Choquet properties (C0) and (C1) follows immediately from the definition and the fact that for $A \subset B \subset \overline{\Omega}$ the inclusion $\mathcal{V}_{p,\Omega}(B) \subset \mathcal{V}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ holds. The validity of equation (1) follows also directly from the definition. To get the Choquet property (C3) let $(K_n)_n$ be a decreasing sequence of compact subsets of $\overline{\Omega}$ and denote by K the intersection of all K_n . If O is an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$ containing K then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_n \subset O$ for all $n \geq n_0$ (see Lemma 3.3). Hence $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K) \leq \lim_n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K_n) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(O)$. Taking the infimum over all open sets O in $\overline{\Omega}$ containing K we get by equation (1) that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K) = \lim_n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K_n)$. To verify the Choquet property (C2) let $(A_n)_n$ be an increasing sequence of subsets of $\overline{\Omega}$ and denote by A the union of all A_n . Let $s := \lim_n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_n) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) \in [0, \infty]$. To get the converse inequality let $u_n \in \mathcal{V}_{p,\Omega}(A_n)$ be such that $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_n) + 2^{-n}$. We may assume that $s < \infty$, otherwise the equality will be trivial. Therefore $(u_n)_n$ is a bounded sequence in the reflexive Banach space $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence has a weakly convergent subsequence. Let $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote the weak limit of this subsequence. By Mazur's lemma there is a sequence $(v_j)_j$ consisting of convex combinations of the u_n with $n \geq j$ which converges strongly to u . By the triangle inequality we get that

$$\|v_j\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq \sup_{n \geq j} \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq s + 2^{-j}.$$

Moreover, since $u_n \geq 1$ a.e. on $\Omega \cap U_n$ for an open set U_n containing A_n we get that there exists an open set V_n (the finite intersection of U_j with $j \geq n$) containing A_n such that $v_n \geq 1$ a.e. on $\Omega \cap V_n$. Since $(v_j)_j$ converges to u we may assume, by possibly passing to a subsequence, that $\|v_{j+1} - v_j\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq 2^{-j}$. Let

$$w_j := v_j + \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} |v_{i+1} - v_i| \geq v_j + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (v_{i+1} - v_i) = v_k \quad \text{for } k \geq j.$$

Then $w_j \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $w_j \geq 1$ a.e. $\Omega \cap V$ where the open set V is given by $V := \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} V_i \supset A$. Therefore

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)^{1/p} \leq \|w_j\| \leq \|v_j\| + \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \|v_{i+1} - v_i\| \leq (s + 2^{-j})^{1/p} + 2^{1-j}.$$

For $j \rightarrow \infty$ we get that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) \leq s = \lim_n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_n)$ which finishes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *For a compact set $K \subset \overline{\Omega}$ we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K) &= \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}), u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}), u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\Omega}(K)$ be fixed. Then there exists an open set U in $\mathbb{R}^N$ containing K such that $v := (u \wedge 1)^+ = 1$ a.e. on $U \cap \Omega$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ be such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on K and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and let $(v_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ which converges to v in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $u_n := \eta + (1 - \eta)v_n^+$ converges in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $\eta + (1 - \eta)v = \eta v + (1 - \eta)v = v$. Using that $u_n \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$, $u_n \geq 1$ on K and $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K) &\geq \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}), u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\} \\ &\geq \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}), u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the converse inequality we fix a function $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u \geq 1$ on K . Then $u_n := (1 + 1/n)u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\Omega}(K)$ and hence

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K) \leq \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \rightarrow \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p.$$

□

Theorem 3.6. *The relative p -capacity is strongly subadditive, that is, for all $M_1, M_2 \subset \overline{\Omega}$*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(M_1 \cup M_2) + \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(M_1 \cap M_2) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(M_1) + \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(M_2) \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $u_j \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\Omega}(M_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and let $u := \max(u_1, u_2)$, $v := \min(u_1, u_2)$. Then $u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\Omega}(M_1 \cup M_2)$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\Omega}(M_1 \cap M_2)$. Let $D_1 := \{x \in \Omega : u_1(x) < u_2(x)\}$, $D_2 := \{x \in \Omega : u_1(x) > u_2(x)\}$ and $D_3 := \{x \in \Omega : u_1(x) = u_2(x)\}$. By Stampacchia's Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p &= \int_{D_1} |u_2|^p + |\nabla u_2|^p + \int_{D_2} |u_1|^p + |\nabla u_1|^p + \int_{D_3} |u_1|^p + |\nabla u_1|^p \\ \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p &= \int_{D_1} |u_1|^p + |\nabla u_1|^p + \int_{D_2} |u_2|^p + |\nabla u_2|^p + \int_{D_3} |u_2|^p + |\nabla u_2|^p. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(M_1 \cup M_2) + \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(M_1 \cap M_2) &\leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p + \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \\ &= \|u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p + \|u_2\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows now from the definition of the relative p -capacity. □

Theorem 3.7. *The relative p -capacity is countably subadditive, that is, for all $A_k \subset \overline{\Omega}$*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k\right) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_k).$$

Proof. Let B_n be the union of A_k with $1 \leq k \leq n$ and let A be the union of all A_k . It follows from the strong subadditivity (Theorem 3.6) by induction that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(B_n) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_k).$$

Using the Choquet property (C2) we get

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = \lim_n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(B_n) \leq \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_k).$$

□

3.2. Relations between Relative Capacities.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $U \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $q \leq p$. Then for every compact set $K \subset U$ there exists a constant $C = C(U, K, q, p)$ such that for all $A \subset K$*

$$\text{Cap}_{q,V}(A) \leq C \cdot \text{Cap}_{p,U}(A)^{q/p}.$$

Proof. Let $W \subset\subset U$ be an open set which contains K and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ be such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on W . Let $u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,U}(A)$ be fixed. Then we define $v \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(V)$ by $v := \varphi u$ on U and $v := 0$ on $V \setminus U$. Then $v \in \mathcal{B}_{q,V}(A)$ and hence by Hölder's inequality

$$\text{Cap}_{q,V}(A) \leq \|v\|_{W^{1,q}(V)}^q = \|v\|_{W^{1,q}(U)}^q \leq C_1 \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(U)}^q \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(U)}^q.$$

Taking the infimum over all $u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,U}(A)$ we get the claim. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $U \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets. Then for every $A \subset \overline{U}$ we have that*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,U}(A) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,V}(A). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,V}(A)$. Then $u|_U \in \mathcal{B}_{p,U}(A)$ and $\|u|_U\|_{W^{1,p}(U)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(V)}$. This implies that $\text{Cap}_{p,U}(A) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,V}(A)$. □

Proposition 3.10. *Let $U \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets. Then for $A \subset U$ we have that*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,U}(A) = 0 \iff \text{Cap}_{p,V}(A) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Remark 3.11. *In general Equation (4) does not hold for $A \subset \partial U \subset \overline{U}$. More precisely, there exists an open, bounded and connected set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 2$ and a smooth set $A \subset \partial\Omega$ such that the $(N-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(A) > 0$ and $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = 0$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ - see Biegert [5, Example 2.5.5].*

Proof. From Equation (3) we get that $\text{Cap}_{p,V}(A) = 0$ implies that $\text{Cap}_{p,U}(A) = 0$. Hence to prove (4) we have to prove the converse implication. For this let $\omega_n \subset\subset U$ be an exhausting sequence of U with relatively compact sets and assume that $\text{Cap}_{p,U}(A) = 0$. Then by Lemma 3.8 there exist constants C_n such that

$$\text{Cap}_{p,V}(\omega_n \cap A) \leq C_n \cdot \text{Cap}_{p,U}(\omega_n \cap A) = 0.$$

Using property (C2) we get that $\text{Cap}_{p,V}(\bigcup_n \omega_n \cap A) = \text{Cap}_{p,V}(A) = 0$. □

Definition 3.12. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain and let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then we say that Ω is a $(1, p)$ -extension domain if there exists a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{E} : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\mathcal{E}u = u$ on Ω . We say that Ω has the continuous $(1, p)$ -extension property if there exists a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{E} : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\mathcal{E}u = u$ on Ω and $\mathcal{E}(W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})) \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

The following is an immediate consequence of Shvartsman [24] and Hajlasz and Koskela and Tuominen [13].

Theorem 3.13. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an $(1, p)$ -extension domain. Then Ω has the continuous $(1, p)$ -extension property.*

Proof. Since Ω is a $(1, p)$ -extension domain, we get from [13, Theorem 2 and Lemma 2.1] that there exists a constant $\delta_\Omega > 0$ such that $\lambda(B(x, r) \cap \Omega) \geq \delta_\Omega r^N$ for all $0 < r \leq 1$ and $\lambda(\partial\Omega) = 0$. For a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ we let $M^{1,p}(A)$ be the Sobolev-type space introduced by Hajlasz consisting of those function $u \in L^p(A)$ with generalized gradient in $L^p(A)$. It follows from [24, Theorem 1.3] that $M^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)|_{\overline{\Omega}} = M^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$ and that there exists a linear continuous extension operator $\mathcal{E} : M^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow M^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using that $M^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as sets with equivalent norms, we get that $M^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are equal as sets with equivalent norms, hence the extension operator $\mathcal{E}$ constructed for $M^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$ is also a linear continuous extension operator from $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

To verify that the extension operator $\mathcal{E}$ constructed by Shvartsman maps $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ into $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we describe shortly the construction of this explicit extension operator, following the arguments of Shvartsman. There exists a countable family of balls $W = W(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{B \in W} B$, every ball $B = B(x_B, r_B) \in W$ satisfies $3r_B \leq \text{dist}(B, \overline{\Omega}) \leq 25r_B$ and further every point of $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is covered by at most $C = C(N)$ balls from W . Let (φ_B) for $B \in W$ be a partition of unity associated with this Whitney covering W with the properties $0 \leq \varphi_B \leq 1$, $\text{supp}(\varphi_B) \subset B(x_B, (9/8)r_B)$, $\sum_{B \in W} \varphi_B(x) = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and $|\varphi_B(x) - \varphi_B(y)| \leq C \text{dist}(x, y)/r_B$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of B . By [24, Theorem 2.6] there is a family of Borel sets $\{H_B : B \in W\}$ such that $H_B \subset B(x_B, \gamma_1 r_B) \cap \overline{\Omega}$, $\lambda(B) \leq \gamma_2 \lambda(H_B)$ for all $B \in W$ with $r_B \leq \delta_\Omega$ where γ_1 and γ_2 are positive constants. Now Shvartsman proved [24, Theorem 1.3 and Equation (1.5)] that a continuous extension operator $\mathcal{E} : M^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow M^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{E}u)(x) := \sum_{B \in W} u_{H_B} \varphi_B(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \quad \text{where} \quad u_{H_B} := \frac{1}{\lambda(H_B)} \int_{H_B} u \, d\lambda$$

and $(\mathcal{E}u)(x) := u(x)$ if $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. The claim follows now from the construction of $\mathcal{E}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.14. *Let $U \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and assume that U is an $(1, p)$ -extension domain. Then there exists a constant C depending on U such that for every set $A \subset \overline{U}$*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,V}(A) \leq C \cdot \text{Cap}_{p,U}(A) \leq C \cdot \text{Cap}_{p,V}(A).$$

Proof. Let $K \subset \overline{U}$ be a compact set. By Proposition 3.5 there exist $u_n \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(U) \cap C_c(\overline{U})$ such that $u_n \geq 1$ on K and $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(U)}^p \rightarrow \text{Cap}_{p,U}(K)$. Let $\mathcal{E}^*$ be the extension operator from Theorem 3.13 and define $v_n := (\mathcal{E}^* u_n)|_V$. Then $v_n \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(V) \cap C(\overline{V})$ and $v_n \geq 1$ on K . Hence by Proposition 3.5 we get that

$$\text{Cap}_{p,V}(K) \leq \|v_n\|_{W^{1,p}(V)}^p \leq \|\mathcal{E}^*\|^p \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(U)}^p \rightarrow \|\mathcal{E}^*\|^p \text{Cap}_{p,U}(K).$$

Let W be an open set in $\overline{U}$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $(K_n)_n$ of compact sets such that $\bigcup_n K_n = W$. By the property (C2) we get that

$$\text{Cap}_{p,V}(W) = \lim_n \text{Cap}_{p,V}(K_n) \leq \lim_n \|\mathcal{E}^*\|^p \text{Cap}_{p,U}(K_n) = \|\mathcal{E}^*\|^p \text{Cap}_{p,U}(W).$$

Now let $A \subset \overline{U}$ be arbitrary. Then by Theorem 3.4

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cap}_{p,V}(A) &= \inf \{ \text{Cap}_{p,V}(O) : O \text{ is open in } \overline{V} \text{ and } A \subset O \} \\
&= \inf \{ \text{Cap}_{p,V}(O \cap \overline{U}) : O \text{ is open in } \overline{V} \text{ and } A \subset O \} \\
&= \inf \{ \text{Cap}_{p,V}(W) : W \text{ is open in } \overline{U} \text{ and } A \subset W \} \\
&\leq \|\mathcal{E}^*\|^p \inf \{ \text{Cap}_{p,U}(W) : W \text{ is open in } \overline{U} \text{ and } A \subset W \} \\
&= \|\mathcal{E}^*\|^p \text{Cap}_{p,U}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining inequality follows from Lemma 3.9. $\square$

Theorem 3.15. For $q \leq p$ and $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ with $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = 0$ we have that $\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega}(A) = 0$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\Omega}(A \cap B(0,n))$ and $\eta \in C_c^1(B(0,2n))$ be such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B(0,n)$. Then we get by Hölder's inequality

$$\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega}(A \cap B(0,n)) \leq \|u\eta\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q \leq C_1 \cdot \|u\eta\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^q \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^q$$

where C is a constant independent of u . Taking the infimum over all such u we get that

$$\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega}(A \cap B(0,n)) \leq C \cdot \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A \cap B(0,n))^{q/p} = 0$$

and hence by the property (C2) we get that $\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega}(A) = 0$. $\square$

3.3. Quasicontinuity and Polar Sets. The aim of this subsection is to prove the existence and uniqueness of $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous representatives on $\overline{\Omega}$ for Sobolev functions in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Definition 3.16. A set $P \subset \overline{\Omega}$ is said to be $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar if $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(P) = 0$. A pointwise defined function u on $D \subset \overline{\Omega}$ is called $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous on D if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set V in $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(V) < \varepsilon$ such that u restricted to $D \setminus V$ is continuous. We say that a property holds $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere (briefly $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e.) if it holds except for a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set.

Lemma 3.17. If $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_k \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ is such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{kp} \|u - u_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p < \infty,$$

then the pointwise limit $\tilde{u} := \lim_k u_k$ exists $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\tilde{u} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous and $\tilde{u} = u$ almost everywhere on Ω .

Proof. Let $G_k := \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : |u_{k+1}(x) - u_k(x)| > 2^{-k}\}$. Then G_k is an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$ and $2^k |u_{k+1} - u_k| \geq 1$ on G_k . It follows that

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(G_k) \leq 2^{kp} \|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$$

and hence $\sum_k \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(G_k) < \infty$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(G) < \varepsilon$ where $G := \bigcup_{k \geq k_0} G_k$. Since $|u_{k+1} - u_k| \leq 2^{-k}$ on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus G$ for all $k \geq k_0$ we have that $(u_k)_k$ is a sequence of continuous functions on $\overline{\Omega}$ which converges uniformly on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus G$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we get that $\tilde{u} := \lim_k u_k$ exists $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\tilde{u}|_{\overline{\Omega} \setminus G}$ is continuous. To see that $\tilde{u}$ coincides with u almost everywhere on Ω we argue as follows. Since u_k converges to u in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (by possibly passing to a subsequence) we have that u_k converges to u almost everywhere. Since $(u_k)_k$ converges to $\tilde{u}$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere

on $\overline{\Omega}$ (and hence almost everywhere on Ω) we get that $\tilde{u} = u$ almost everywhere on Ω (see Remark 3.1). $\square$

Theorem 3.18. *For every $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there exists a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous function $\tilde{u} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{u} = u$ almost everywhere on Ω , that is, $\tilde{u} \in u$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then by definition there exists a sequence $u_n \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By possibly passing to a subsequence the sequence $(u_n)_n$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.17. $\square$

Lemma 3.19. *Let $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ and let $u \in u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous version of u such that $u \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on A . Then there is a sequence $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ which converges to u in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\|u - w_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon$ where w_k is given by $w_k := \max(u, -k)$. Let V be an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$ such that w_k restricted to $\overline{\Omega} \setminus V$ is continuous, $w_k \geq 1$ everywhere on $A \setminus V$ and $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(V) \leq (k+1+\varepsilon k)^{-p}\varepsilon^p$. Let ψ be a capacity extremal for V (see Proposition 3.2) and let $v_\varepsilon := (1+\varepsilon)w_k + (k+1+\varepsilon k)\psi \geq \psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} &\leq \varepsilon + \|w_k - v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \|w_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \varepsilon(2 + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

For the open set $G := V \cup \{x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus V : w_k(x) > 1/(1+\varepsilon)\}$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ we have that $v_\varepsilon \geq 1$ a.e. on $\Omega \cap G$ and $A \subset G$. Hence $v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. The claim follows with $u_n := v_{1/n}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.20. *Let $u \in u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous version of u and let $a \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(\{x \in \overline{\Omega} : u(x) > a\}) \leq a^{-p} \|u^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p.$$

Proof. Let $A := \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : u(x) > a\}$. By Lemma 3.19 there exists a sequence $(u_n)_n \in \mathcal{U}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ which converges to $a^{-1}u^+$ in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Note that u^+ is a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous version of u^+ . Hence

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(\{x \in \overline{\Omega} : u(x) > a\}) \leq \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \rightarrow a^{-p} \|u^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p.$$

$\square$

Theorem 3.21. *Let $u, v \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be such that $u \leq v$ a.e. on $U \cap \Omega$ where U is an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$. If $u \in u$ and $v \in v$ are $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous versions of u and v , respectively, then $u \leq v$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on U .*

Proof. Let W be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $U = W \cap \overline{\Omega}$ and let $(K_n)_n$ be a sequence of compact sets such that $U = \bigcup_n K_n$. For the sequence of compact sets we choose $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}(W)$ non-negative such that $\varphi_n \equiv 1$ on K_n . Then the function $w_n := \varphi_n(u - v)^+ = 0$ a.e. on Ω and we get by Lemma 3.20, using that $\varphi_n(u - v)^+$ is $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous, that $w_n = 0$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega}$ and hence that $u \leq v$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on K_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the countable union of $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar sets is $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar we get that $u \leq v$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on U . $\square$

Theorem 3.22. *Let $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique (up to a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set) $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous function $\tilde{u} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = \tilde{u}$ a.e. on Ω .*

Proof. The existence follows from Theorem 3.18. To show uniqueness we let $u_1, u_2 \in u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be two quasi-continuous versions u . Then $u_1 = u_2$ a.e. on Ω and hence by Theorem 3.21 we get that $u_1 = u_2$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega}$. $\square$

Definition 3.23. By $\mathcal{N}_p^*(\Omega)$ we denote the set of all $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar sets in $\overline{\Omega}$ and we denote by $C_p(\Omega)$ the space of all $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous functions $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. On $C_p(\Omega)$ we define the equivalence relation $\sim$ by

$$u \sim v \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists P \in \mathcal{N}_p^*(\Omega) : u = v \text{ everywhere on } \overline{\Omega} \setminus P.$$

For a function $u \in C_p(\Omega)$ we denote by $[u]$ the equivalence class of u with respect to $\sim$. Now the refined Sobolev space $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) := \{ \tilde{u} : u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \} \subset C_p(\Omega) / \sim$$

where $\tilde{u} := [u]$ with $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous. We equip $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}$. Note that it is isometrically isomorphic to $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by Theorem 3.22.

For a sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we say that $(u_n)_n$ converges $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere to u if for every $u_n \in u_n$ and $u \in u$ there exists a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set P such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ everywhere on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus P$. We say that $(u_n)_n$ converges $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi uniformly to u if for every $u_n \in u_n$, $u \in u$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set G in $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(G) \leq \varepsilon$ and $u_k \rightarrow u$ uniformly (everywhere) on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus G$.

Theorem 3.24. If $u_n \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ converges to $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then there exists a subsequence which converges $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere and -quasi uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$ to u .

Proof. Let $(u_{n_k})_k$ be a subsequence of $(u_n)_n$ such that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^p \|u_{n_k} - u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p < \infty$. We show that this subsequence converges $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere and -quasi uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$ to u . Let $u_{n_k} \in u_{n_k}$ and $u \in u$ be fixed and define $G_k := \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : |u_{n_k}(x) - u(x)| > k^{-1}\}$. We show that $u_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus P$ where $P := \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} G_k$. If $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus P$ then there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \notin \bigcup_{k=j_0}^{\infty} G_k$, that is, $|u_{n_k}(x) - u(x)| \leq k^{-1}$ for all $k \geq j_0$ and hence $u_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ uniformly on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{k=j_0}^{\infty} G_k$ and everywhere on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus P$. We show that P is a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} k^p \|u_{n_k} - u\| \leq \varepsilon$. By Lemma 3.20 we get that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(G_k) \leq k^p \|u_{n_k} - u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$ and hence $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(\bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} G_k) \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(P) \leq \varepsilon$ and since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 3.25. Let $U \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets. A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous on U if and only if u is $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous on every set $\omega \subset \subset U$.

Proof. Assume that u is $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous on every set $\omega \subset \subset U$. Let $\omega_n \subset \subset U$ be such that $\bigcup_n \omega_n = U$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists an open set $V_n \subset \omega_n$ such that $u|_{\omega_n \setminus V_n}$ is continuous and $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(V_n) \leq \varepsilon 2^{-n}$. Let $V := \bigcup_n V_n$. Then $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(V) \leq \sum_n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(V_n) \leq \varepsilon$ and $u|_{U \setminus V}$ is continuous. In fact, if $x \in U \setminus V$ then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in \omega_{n_0} \setminus V_{n_0}$. If $(x_k)_k$ is a sequence in $U \setminus V$ converging to x then there exists k_0 such that $x_k \in \omega_{n_0} \setminus V_{n_0}$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Since $u|_{\omega_{n_0} \setminus V_{n_0}}$ is continuous we get that $u(x_k) \rightarrow u(x)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and hence that $u|_{U \setminus V}$ is continuous. $\square$

Theorem 3.26. Let $U \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let u be a function from U into $\mathbb{R}$. Then u is $\text{Cap}_{p,U}$ -quasi continuous if and only if u is $\text{Cap}_{p,V}$ -quasi continuous.

Proof. If u is $\text{Cap}_{p,V}$ -quasi continuous, then u is $\text{Cap}_{p,U}$ -quasi continuous by Lemma 3.9. Assume now that u is $\text{Cap}_{p,U}$ -quasi continuous and let $\omega \subset\subset U$ be a relatively compact set in U . We show that u is $\text{Cap}_{p,V}$ -quasi continuous on ω . For this let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. By Lemma 3.8 there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\text{Cap}_{p,V}(A) \leq C \cdot \text{Cap}_{p,U}(A)$ for all $A \subset \omega$. Since u is $\text{Cap}_{p,U}$ -quasi continuous on ω there exists an open set $W \subset \omega$ with $\text{Cap}_{p,U}(W) \leq \varepsilon/C$ such that $u|_{\omega \setminus W}$ is continuous. Since $\text{Cap}_{p,V}(W) \leq C \text{Cap}_{p,U}(W) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we get that u is $\text{Cap}_{p,V}$ -quasi continuous on ω . Since $\omega \subset\subset U$ was arbitrary we get by Lemma 3.25 that u is $\text{Cap}_{p,V}$ -quasi continuous. $\square$

Corollary 3.27. *Let $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a Cap_p -quasi continuous function. Then $u = \tilde{u}$ Cap_p -quasi everywhere on Ω .*

Proof. Let $v \in \tilde{u}$. By Theorem 3.26 v is Cap_p -quasi continuous on Ω . Let $\omega_n \subset\subset \Omega$ be an increasing sequence of relatively compact sets in Ω such that $\bigcup_n \omega_n = \Omega$. Let $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be such that $\varphi_n \equiv 1$ on ω_n . Since $v = u$ a.e. on Ω we get that $\varphi_n v = \varphi_n u$ a.e. on Ω . Since $\varphi_n v, \varphi_n u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are Cap_p -quasi continuous on $\mathbb{R}^N$ we get by Theorem 3.21 that $\varphi_n v = \varphi_n u$ Cap_p -q.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and hence $v = u$ Cap_p -q.e. on ω_n . Since $(\omega_n)_n$ was exhausting we get that $v = u$ Cap_p -quasi everywhere on Ω . $\square$

3.4. Capacitary Extremals. The aim of this subsection is to prove the existence and uniqueness of capacitary extremals and to characterize them.

Theorem 3.28. *Let $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ and $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $u \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ if and only if $\tilde{u} \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A .*

Proof. If $\tilde{u} \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A then $u \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ by Lemma 3.19. For the converse implication let $u \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. By Theorem 3.24 there exists a sequence $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{T}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ such that $\tilde{u}_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on $\overline{\Omega}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open set O_n in $\overline{\Omega}$ containing A such that $u_n \geq 1$ a.e. on $\Omega \cap O_n$. Hence $\tilde{u}_n \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A by Theorem 3.21. This shows that $\tilde{u} \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A . $\square$

Theorem 3.29. *For $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ the relative p -capacity of A is given by*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), u \geq 1 \text{ Cap}_{p,\Omega}\text{-q.e. on } A \right\} \quad (5)$$

$$= \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \tilde{u} \geq 1 \text{ Cap}_{p,\Omega}\text{-q.e. on } A \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Denote by I the infimum on the right hand side of (6) and let $u \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. Then by Theorem 3.28 $\tilde{u} \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A . Hence $I \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$. Taking the infimum over all $u \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ we get that $I \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. On the other hand, let $u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be such that $\tilde{u} \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A . Then by Lemma 3.19 there exists $u_n \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) \leq \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$ and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$. Now taking the infimum over all such u gives that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) \leq I$ and hence we have equality. $\square$

Definition 3.30. *A function $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is called a/the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ if $u \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A and $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)$.*

Theorem 3.31. *For every $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ with $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) < \infty$ there exists a unique $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal $e_A \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $0 \leq e_A \leq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on $\overline{\Omega}$ and $e_A = 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A .*

Proof. Since $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) < \infty$ we have that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ is a non-empty closed and convex subset of $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Let $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{T}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ be such that $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \rightarrow \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. Then the sequence $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in the reflexive Banach space $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence, by possibly passing to a subsequence, weakly convergent to a function $u \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. Using the lower semi-continuity of the norm we get that

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq \liminf_n \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A).$$

Since $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \geq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ for all $v \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ we get that this equality remains true on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ and hence $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. From Theorem 3.28 we get that $e_A := \tilde{u} \geq 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A . It remains to show the uniqueness. For this let $w \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for A . Then $w \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ by Theorem 3.28. If $w \neq e_A$ then by the uniform convexity of $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there exists $v \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ with $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p < \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ which is a contradiction. The additional claims follow as in Proposition 3.2. $\square$

Remark 3.32. The $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ is the projection of 0 onto $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$.

In the following we will use the convention that $|\xi|^{p-2}\xi := 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if $\xi = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 3.33. Let $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and define $v_\varepsilon := u + \varepsilon v$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \varepsilon^{-1} \left[\|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right] = p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p-2} uv.$$

Proof. For $\xi, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have that (using the derivative with respect to ε)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \varepsilon^{-1} (|\xi + \varepsilon \theta|^p - |\xi|^p) = p |\xi|^{p-2} \xi \theta$$

and thus by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \varepsilon^{-1} \left[\|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right] &= \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{-1} (|u + \varepsilon v|^p - |u|^p) + \varepsilon^{-1} (|\nabla u + \varepsilon \nabla v|^p - |\nabla u|^p) &= \\ p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p-2} uv. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 3.34. Since the function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \xi \mapsto |\xi|^p$ is convex we get that

$$|\xi'|^p - |\xi|^p \geq p |\xi|^{p-2} \xi (\xi' - \xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi', \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (7)$$

Proposition 3.35. Let $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$. Then a function $u \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ is the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for A if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u [\nabla v - \nabla u] + |u|^{p-2} u [v - u] \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A). \quad (8)$$

Proof. Let e_A be the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for A and let $v \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we let $v_\varepsilon := e_A + \varepsilon(v - e_A)$. Using that $e_A = 1$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -q.e. on A and Theorem 3.28 we get that $v_\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ and hence

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \left(\|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \|e_A\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right) \geq 0.$$

Using Lemma 3.33 we get (8). For the converse implication assume that $u \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ satisfies (8). Then by (7) we get that $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \geq 0$ for all $v \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ and hence

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \inf \left\{ \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : v \in \mathcal{D}_{p,\Omega}(A) \right\} = \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A).$$

□

3.5. Potentials. The aim of this subsection is to prove the existence and uniqueness of $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potentials for $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ and to characterize them.

Definition 3.36. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ where $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ is the topological dual of $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is called a/the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ if u minimizes in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the mapping

$$\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(v). \quad (9)$$

Theorem 3.37. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$. Then there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(u) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(v). \quad (10)$$

That is, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ there exists a unique $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ .

Proof. Let $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a minimizing sequence of (9). Since the infimum on the right hand side of (10) is less or equal to 0 (take $v = 0 \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$), we may assume that

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq \mu(u_n) \leq \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'} \|u_n\| \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This shows that the sequence $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in the reflexive Banach space $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence, by possibly passing to a subsequence, weakly convergent to a function $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By using the lower semi-continuity of the norm in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we get that

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(v) = \lim_n \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(u).$$

This shows that u is a minimizer and the existence is proved. The uniqueness follows from the strict convexity of $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$. In fact, assume that u_1, u_2 are two different minimizer of (9) and let $u := (u_1 + u_2)/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(u) &< \frac{1}{2p} \left[\|u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p + \|u_2\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right] - \frac{\mu(u_1 + u_2)}{2} \\ &= \inf_{v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(v), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

Theorem 3.37 gives the existence and uniqueness for the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential for every $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$. A characterization for this unique $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential in terms of an integral equation is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.38. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$. Then $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v + |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v = \mu(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega). \quad (11)$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ . For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we let $v_\varepsilon := u + \varepsilon v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Using the inequality

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(u) \leq \frac{1}{p} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(v_\varepsilon)$$

we deduce that

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \frac{1}{p} \left[\|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right] \geq \mu(\varepsilon^{-1}(v_\varepsilon - u)) = \mu(v).$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ we get from Lemma 3.33 that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} uv + |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \geq \mu(v).$$

Replacing v by $-v$ we get equality. Hence we proved that the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ satisfies (11). To prove the sufficiency part let $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be such that u satisfies (11). Then, by (7), we get for $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that

$$\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \geq p \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u(v - u) + |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u (\nabla v - \nabla u) = p\mu(v - u),$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(u) \leq \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \mu(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

□

Theorem 3.39. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ and $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ . Then

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'}^{p'} = \mu(u) = \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \quad \text{with } 1/p + 1/p' = 1.$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Lemma 3.38 that $\mu(u) = \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$. To prove the remaining equality let $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$. Using the definition of the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(v) &= \left[\mu(v) - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right] + \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \\ &\leq \left[\mu(u) - \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right] + \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \mu(u). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.38 that if $u = 0$ then $\mu = 0$ and the assertion is trivial. So we may assume that $u \neq 0$. Using the linearity of μ we get that for all $w \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\|w\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq 1$

$$\mu(w) \leq \mu(u / \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)})$$

and hence

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'}^{p'} = \mu(u / \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)})^{p'} = \mu(u)^{p'} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{-p'} = \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{(p-1)p'} = \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p.$$

□

3.6. Capacitary Measures. The aim of this subsection is to prove the existence and uniqueness for $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -measures and to characterize positive functionals in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$.

Definition 3.40. A Borel measure μ on a Hausdorff space T is called a Radon measure if μ is inner regular and locally finite.

Definition 3.41. We say that a Radon measure μ on $\overline{\Omega}$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ if

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{\overline{\Omega}} v \, d\mu : v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}), \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

In this case we can extend the functional $v \mapsto \int_{\overline{\Omega}} v \, d\mu$ from $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ in a unique way to a continuous functional $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$. For $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we simply write $\mu(v)$ instead of $\tilde{\mu}(v)$ but still have this definition of $\mu(v)$ in mind.

Definition 3.42. Let $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ be such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) < \infty$ and let μ be a Radon measure in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$. Then μ is said to be a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -measure for A if the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ is the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for A .

Definition 3.43. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$. Then the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -energy of μ on $\overline{\Omega}$ is defined by

$$E(\mu) := E_p(\mu, \Omega) := \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'}^{p'}.$$

Lemma 3.44. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ be such that $\psi(w) \geq 0$ for all non-negative $w \in S := \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$. Then $\psi|_S$ can be extended to a positive functional $\tilde{\psi}$ on $C_c(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. Let $u \in C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ and $K := \text{supp}(u) \subset \overline{\Omega}$. Fix a non-negative test function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\phi \equiv 1$ on K and $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that u_n converges to u uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$. Since $|\psi(v\phi)| \leq \psi(\phi) \|v\|_{\infty}$ for all $v \in S$ we get that $\tilde{\psi}(u) := \lim_n \psi(\phi u_n)$ exists. Note that the definition of $\tilde{\psi}$ does not depend on the sequence $(u_n)_n$ and ϕ and that $\tilde{\psi}$ is linear and positive. $\square$

Lemma 3.45. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ be such that $\psi(w) \geq 0$ for all non-negative $w \in S := \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$. Then there exists a unique Radon measure μ on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$\psi(w) = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} w \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } w \in S = \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}). \quad (12)$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\psi}$ be given from Lemma 3.44. Then we get from the Riesz-Markov Theorem (see Royden [23, Theorem 13.23]) that there exists a unique Radon measure μ on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\tilde{\psi}(w) = \int w \, d\mu$ for all $w \in C_c(\overline{\Omega})$. In particular, $\psi(w) = \tilde{\psi}(w) = \int w \, d\mu$ for all $w \in S$. Let μ' be a Radon measure on $\overline{\Omega}$ satisfying (12). Using the density of S in $C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ we get that

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}} w \, d\mu = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} w \, d\mu' \quad \text{for all } w \in C_c(\overline{\Omega})$$

and hence by the Riesz-Markov Representation Theorem that $\mu = \mu'$. $\square$

Theorem 3.46. Let $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ be such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) < \infty$. Then there exists a unique $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -measure $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ for A . Moreover, if $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for A then

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \mu(u) = E_p(\mu, \Omega).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.35 and Remark 3.32 the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal u for A is in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ and satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u [\nabla v - \nabla u] + |u|^{p-2} u [v - u] \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A). \quad (13)$$

We define $\psi \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ by

$$\psi(w) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w + |u|^{p-2} u w.$$

If $w \in S := \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ is non-negative, then $v := u + w \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{p,\Omega}(A)$ and hence we get from (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w) &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w + |u|^{p-2} u w \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u [\nabla v - \nabla u] + |u|^{p-2} u [v - u] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.45 we get that there exists a unique Radon measure μ on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$\psi(w) = \int_{\Omega} w d\mu \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}).$$

It follows from the continuity of ψ and Definition 3.41 that $\psi(w) = \mu(w)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. From Lemma 3.38 we get that u is the $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -potential of μ and hence μ is a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -measure for A by definition. If μ' is a Radon measure in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ such that u is the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -potential of μ' , then by Lemma 3.38 $\mu'(w) = \mu(w)$ for all $w \in S \subset \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, from which we deduce the uniqueness of the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -measure for A . The stated equality follows from Theorem 3.39 and Definitions 3.43 and 3.30. $\square$

Theorem 3.47. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ be a Radon measure and let $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ be μ -measurable. Then*

$$\mu(A)^p \leq E(\mu)^{p-1} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A). \quad (14)$$

Proof. First assume that A is compact. Then for any non-negative $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ with $v \geq 1$ on A we have that

$$\mu(A) \leq \int_{\Omega} v d\mu = \mu(v) \leq \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Taking the infimum over all such v we get by Proposition 3.5 that

$$\mu(A) \leq E_p(\mu, \Omega)^{1/p'} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)^{1/p}$$

which is equivalent to (14). If A is an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$ then we consider an increasing sequence of compact sets $(A_n)_n$ such that $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Then

$$\mu(A) = \lim_n \mu(A_n) \leq \lim_n E_p(\mu, \Omega)^{1/p'} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A_n)^{1/p} = E_p(\mu, \Omega)^{1/p'} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A)^{1/p}.$$

Finally let A be an arbitrary μ -measurable set, then (since every Radon measure on $\overline{\Omega}$ is automatically outer regular – see Royden [23, Proposition 13.14]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \inf \{ \mu(O) : O \supset A \text{ open in } \overline{\Omega} \} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ E_p(\mu, \Omega)^{1/p'} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(O)^{1/p} : O \supset A \text{ open in } \overline{\Omega} \right\} \\ &= E_p(\mu, \Omega)^{1/p'} \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Corollary 3.48. *If $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ is a Radon measure, then $\mu(A) = 0$ for every $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set. That is, μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$.*

Theorem 3.49. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'$ be a Radon measure. Then $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mu)$ and*

$$\mu(u) = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} u \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Remark 3.50. *Note that $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ consists only of $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous functions and so there is no need to pass from a function $v \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to its $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous representative $\tilde{v} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and let $(u_k)_k$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $(u_k)_k$ converges to u in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By possibly passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\|u_k - u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq 4^{-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $w := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |u_{k+1} - u_k|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{\Omega}} w \, d\mu &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\overline{\Omega}} |u_{k+1} - u_k| \, d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_{L^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mu)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(|u_{k+1} - u_k|) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)'} \|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

First we show that $(u_k(x))_k$ is a Cauchy sequence for μ -a.e. $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Let $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ be fixed. If $(u_k(x))_k$ is not a Cauchy sequence then $w(x) = \infty$ and since $w \in L^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mu)$ we get that the set where $w = \infty$ is a μ -nullset, that is, $(u_k(x))_k$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ for μ -almost every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. It also follows immediately from the equation above that $(u_k)_k$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mu)$ and hence convergent to a function $v \in L^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mu)$. Moreover, u_k converges μ -a.e. on $\overline{\Omega}$ to v . On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 3.24 that, by possibly passing to a subsequence, u_k converges $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere (and hence μ -a.e.) on $\overline{\Omega}$ to u . Therefore $u = v$ μ -a.e. on $\overline{\Omega}$ and

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}} u \, d\mu = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} v \, d\mu = \lim_k \int_{\overline{\Omega}} u_k \, d\mu = \lim_k \mu(u_k) = \mu(u).$$

In particular $u \in L^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mu)$. □

4. AN APPLICATION TO SOBOLEV SPACES

In this section we give an application of the relative capacity, namely to decide if a given function u lies in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ or not. Here $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence a closed subspace of $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the following inclusion holds.

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_0(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Here $C_0(\Omega)$ is defined to be the space of all continuous functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ such that $|u| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus K$. To prove this inclusion let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_0(\Omega)$. Since $u = u^+ - u^-$ we may assume without loss of generality that $u \geq 0$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $u_k := (u - 1/k)^+$. Then u_k has compact support in Ω . Using a mollification argument ($\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \ni u_{k,n} := \rho_n * u_k \rightarrow u_k$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$) we see that $u_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and since $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the claim is proved.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded and non-empty open set and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If there exists $u \in u$ such that for all $z \in \partial\Omega$ the limit $\lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow z} u(x)$ exists and is equal to 0, then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. By splitting $u = u^+ - u^-$ we may assume that u is a non-negative function. Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. By assumption, for $z \in \partial\Omega$, there exists $\delta_z > 0$ such that $0 \leq u(x) \leq 1/m$ for all $x \in B_{\mathbb{R}^N}(z, \delta_z) \cap \Omega$. Since the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω is compact, there exist $z_1, \dots, z_{n_0} \in \partial\Omega$ such that

$$\partial\Omega \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_0} B_{\mathbb{R}^N}(z_k, \delta_{z_k}) =: O \subset \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then $u_m := (u - 1/m)^+ \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_m = 0$ outside the compact set $K := \overline{\Omega} \setminus O \subset \Omega$. Hence by the mollification argument described at the beginning of this section we get that $u_m \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we deduce that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open and non-empty set and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then*

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) : \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ Cap}_{p,\Omega}\text{-q.e. on } \partial\Omega\}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. Let $D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote the right hand side of (15). First we show that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset \tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence of test functions $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By possibly passing to a subsequence (see Theorem 3.24) we get that $(u_n)_n$ converges $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere to $\tilde{u}$ and hence $\tilde{u} = 0$ $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on $\partial\Omega$, that is, $u \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

We show that $D_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Assume for the moment that Ω is bounded and let $u \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ be non-negative. Then there exists a sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ which converges to u in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $(u_n \vee 0) \wedge \|u\|_\infty$ converges also to u in $\tilde{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we may assume that $0 \leq u_n \leq \|u\|_\infty$. Let $u \in \tilde{u}$ be fixed. By possibly passing to a subsequence (see Theorem 3.24) we have that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open set G_m in $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(G_m) \leq 1/m$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ uniformly on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus G_m$. Hence there exists $n_0 = n_0(m)$ such that $|u_{n_0} - u| \leq 1/m$ everywhere on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus G_m$ and $\|u_{n_0} - u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq 1/m$. Let U_m be an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(U_m) \leq 1/m$ and $u = 0$ everywhere on $\partial\Omega \setminus U_m$. Consequently, $|u_{n_0}| \leq 1/m$ everywhere on $\partial\Omega \setminus O_m$ where $O_m := G_m \cup U_m$. Let $e_m \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -extremal for O_m and fix $e_m \in e_m$. By changing e_m on a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set we may assume that $e_m \equiv 1$ everywhere on O_m and $0 \leq e_m \leq 1$ everywhere on $\overline{\Omega}$. Let $w_m := (u_{n_0} - 1/m)^+$. Then we have that $v_m := w_m(1 - e_m) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. In fact, for $z \in \partial\Omega$ we take a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in Ω which converges to z . If $z \in \partial\Omega \setminus O_m$ then

$$0 \leq v_m(x_n) \leq w_m(x_n) \rightarrow w_m(z) = (u_{n_0}(z) - 1/m)^+ = 0.$$

If $z \in \partial\Omega \cap O_m$ then there exists k_0 such that $x_n \in O_m$ for all $n \geq k_0$. Hence

$$0 \leq v_m(x_n) = w_m(x_n)(1 - e_m(x_n)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq k_0.$$

This shows that $\lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow z} v_m(x)$ exists and is 0 for all $z \in \partial\Omega$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $v_m \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Next we show that $v_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &\leq \|u - u_{n_0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u_{n_0} - w_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|w_m - v_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 1/m + |\Omega|^{1/p}/m + (2/m)^{1/p} \|w_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 1/m \left[1 + |\Omega|^{1/p} \right] + (2/m)^{1/p} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate $\|D_j v_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_j v_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &\leq \|D_j w_m(1 - e_m)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|w_m D_j e_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|w_m\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \|w_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|e_m\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|u_{n_0}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \|u_{n_0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \cdot \|e_m\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + 1/m + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \cdot (2/m)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the sequence $(v_m)_m$ is bounded in the reflexive Banach space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence there exists a weakly convergent subsequence $(v_{m_k})_k$. Using that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is closed for the weak topology, we get that the weak limit $w\text{-}\lim_k v_{m_k} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, since $(v_{m_k})_k$ converges to u in $L^p(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $u = w\text{-}\lim_k v_{m_k} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If $u \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is not non-negative, we get by what we proved already that u^+ and u^- are in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If $u \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ let $g_k := (u \wedge k) \vee (-k) \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $g_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $g_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by the arguments above we get that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If Ω is unbounded then we choose $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(B(0, 1))$ such that $\psi \equiv 1$ on a neighbourhood of $\{0\}$. Let $v_n(x) := u(x) \cdot \psi(x/n)$ where $u \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then by the above arguments we have

$$v_n \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega \cap \mathcal{B}(0, n)) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \cap B(0, n)) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Using that $v_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the proof is finished. $\square$

To finish this section and the article we mention two further characterizations of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The original proof of the following result is due to Havin [14] and Bagby [4], an alternative proof is given by Hedberg [15].

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ an open set and let $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-N} \int_{B(x,r)} |u(y)| dy = 0$$

for Cap_p-q.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$.

The following characterization was recently proved by David Swanson and William P. Ziemer. The main difference to the previous theorem is that the function u is not assumed to belong to the space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Theorem 4.4. *D. Swanson and W. P. Ziemer [25, Theorem 2.2]. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-N} \int_{\mathcal{B}(x,r) \cap \Omega} |u(y)| dy = 0$$

for Cap_p-quasi every $x \in \partial\Omega$, then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] David R. Adams and Lars Inge Hedberg, *Function spaces and potential theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 314, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR 97j:46024
- [2] Hans Wilhelm Alt, *Linear functional analysis. An application oriented introduction. (Lineare Funktionalanalysis. Eine anwendungsorientierte Einführung.)* 3., vollst. überarb. und erw. Auflage., Springer-Lehrbuch. Berlin: Springer. xiii, 415 S., 1999 (German).
- [3] Wolfgang Arendt and Mahamadi Warma, *The Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions on arbitrary domains*, Potential Anal. **19** (2003), no. 4, 341–363. MR 1 988 110

- [4] Thomas Bagby, *Quasi topologies and rational approximation.*, J. Funct. Anal. **10** (1972), 259–268.
- [5] Markus Biegert, *Elliptic problems on varying domains*, Dissertation, Logos Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [6] Nicolas Bouleau and Francis Hirsch, *Dirichlet forms and analysis on Wiener space*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1991. MR MR1133391 (93e:60107)
- [7] Gustave Choquet, *Theory of capacities.*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **5** (1954), 131–295.
- [8] Robert Dautray and Jacques-Louis Lions, *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology. Vol. 2*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. MR 89m:00001
- [9] Joseph L. Doob, *Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart. Reprint of the 1984 edition.*, Classics in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer. xxiii, 846 p., 2001.
- [10] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987. MR 89b:47001
- [11] Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992. MR MR1158660 (93f:28001)
- [12] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Reprint of the 1998 edition. MR 2001k:35004
- [13] Piotr Hajłasz, Pekka Koskela, and Heli Tuominen, *Sobolev embeddings, extensions and measure density condition*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), no. 5, 1217–1234. MR MR2386936
- [14] Victor P. Havin, *Approximation in the mean by analytic functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **9** (1968), 245–248.
- [15] Lars Inge Hedberg, *Non-linear potentials and approximation in the mean by analytic functions.*, Math. Z. **129** (1972), 299–319.
- [16] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio, *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, New York, 1993. MR 94e:31003
- [17] Harro Heuser, *Functional Analysis. Theory and Applications. (Funktionalanalysis. Theorie und Anwendung. Mit 766 Aufgaben, zum Teil mit Lösungen und zahlreichen Beispielen.) 3., durchges. Aufl.*, Mathematische Leitfäden. Stuttgart: Teubner. 696 S. , 1992 (German).
- [18] Jan Malý and William P. Ziemer, *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. MR MR1461542 (98h:35080)
- [19] Vladimir G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev spaces*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, Translated from the Russian by T. O. Shaposhnikova. MR 87g:46056
- [20] Vladimir G. Maz'ya and Sergei V. Poborchii, *Differentiable functions on bad domains*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997. MR 99k:46057
- [21] James R. Munkres, *Topology. 2nd ed.*, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. xvi, 537 p. , 2000.
- [22] J. Tinsley Oden and Leszek F. Demkowicz, *Applied functional analysis.*, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. 653 p. , 1996.
- [23] H.L. Royden, *Real analysis. 3rd ed.*, New York: Macmillan Publishing Company; London: Collier Macmillan Publishing. xx, 444 p. , 1988.
- [24] P. Shvartsman, *On extensions of Sobolev functions defined on regular subsets of metric measure spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **144** (2007), no. 2, 139–161. MR MR2293385 (2007k:46057)
- [25] David Swanson and William P. Ziemer, *Sobolev functions whose inner trace at the boundary is zero*, Ark. Mat. **37** (1999), no. 2, 373–380. MR 2000g:46048
- [26] Kôzaku Yosida, *Functional analysis*, 6th ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980. MR 82i:46002